

Plant & Fungal Research

journal published by the Institute of Botany PLE, Ministry of Science
and Education (MSE) of the Republic of Azerbaijan

Editors-in-Chief: *Prof., Dr. Sayyara Ibadullayeva, Prof., Dr. Dilzara Aghayeva*
A. Abbaszade str., entr. 99, AZ1073, Baku, Azerbaijan

Phone: (994 12) 502 49 81; **E-mail:** plantfungalres@gmail.com
<https://plantfungalres.az/en>

Dear colleague,

we greatly appreciate your time and valuable contribution to the peer-review process.

The enclosed paper has been submitted for publication in the journal *Plant & Fungal Research*. We would like to request you to serve as one of the reviewers. If you agree to review the manuscript, please complete the questionnaire below and provide a clear recommendation regarding its acceptance or rejection. Please provide your comments in English on a separate sheet and, where appropriate, include comments and suggestions directly in the manuscript. Both the annotated manuscript and the completed questionnaire will be forwarded to the author(s) anonymously. Therefore, please do not include your name or signature on the comments sheet.

To help us keep the manuscript processing time as short as possible, we kindly ask that you complete the review within approximately **one month**. If, for any reason, you anticipate that you may not be able to meet this timeframe, we would be very grateful if you could let us know at your earliest convenience.

Thank you very much for your time, expertise, and kind assistance. We truly appreciate your contribution to maintaining the quality of the journal.

Yours sincerely,

Editorial Office

Manuscript title:

Questions	YES	NO	SEE COMMENTS
1. Is the subject of the paper suitable for publication in <i>Plant & Fungal Research</i> ?			
2. Does the title accurately and clearly represent the content of the manuscript?			
3. Is the Abstract clear and informative?			
4. Are the keywords informative?			
5. Is the aim of the paper clearly and adequately stated?			
6. Is the information it contains new and original?			
7. Is the length of the paper appropriate to its content?			
8. Are the interpretations and conclusions well-founded and adequately supported by the presented data?			
9. Does the discussion section provide a meaningful and critical interpretation of the findings?			
10. Are all the illustrations necessary and relevant to the manuscript? If not, which illustrations should be added, removed, or revised?			
11. Are all the tables necessary and relevant to the manuscript? If not, which tables should be added, removed, or revised?			
12. Are the illustrations and tables of sufficient quality and clarity?			
13. Are the references appropriate, relevant, necessary, and presented in the correct format?			
14. Does the manuscript require any language editing or revision to improve its clarity and readability?			

FINAL RECOMMENDATION

Please select one of the following options:

- A.** Accept the manuscript in its present form
- B.** Accept subject to minor revisions (see comments)
- C.** Accept subject to major revisions (see comments)
- D.** Reject in its current form, but invite resubmission after substantial revision
- E.** Reject the manuscript

Please briefly outline your opinion of the manuscript (no more than two pages).